

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Frademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/066,048	01/31/2002	John P. Brostom	M-11948 US	3924	
75	90 04/24/2003				
Mark E. Schmidt			EXAMINER		
SKJERVEN M Suite 700	ORRILL MacPHERSC	CHERVINSKY, BORIS LEO			
25 Metro Drive San Jose, CA 95110-1349			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Jose, CA	93110-1349	2835			
			DATE MAIL ED: 04/24/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)	- for			
a					0			
<i>).</i>	eti A adiam Cummany	10/066,048	3	BROSTOM, JOHN P.				
, O	ffice Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Boris L. Che		2835				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspond nce address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status  1) \( \sum_{\text{Postposition to communication(s) filled on 24 March 2003} \)								
<u> </u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 March 2003</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> .							
3)□ Sinc	20) The detail of the property is							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. / <b>Disposition of Claims</b>								
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.								
· ·	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	n(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election re	equirement.					
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 January 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
,	· 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notice of D	references Cited (PTO-892) Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	948) r No(s)	· ==	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Il Patent Application (PTO-152				

> Application/Control Number: 10/066,048

Art Unit: 2835

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 2. Harshberger et al. in view of Bolton et al. and further in view of Finkelstein et al. Harshberger et al. disclose a panel mountable electronic device 22 comprising a housing 110, 112, 114, 120 with a heat sink 109 (col. 5, lines 13-17), the housing including a flange 110 with a through hole, a tab 174 rotates of about 90 degrees to clamp a portion of a panel between the tab and the flange, the tab is received in the recess. Harshberger discloses the claimed invention except the threaded hole in the tab and a screw being engaged with the thread hole in the tab. Bolton discloses the screw being engaged with the threaded hole in the tab. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to have the screw as disclosed by Bolton instead of a locking assembly as disclosed by Harshberger. Harshberger discloses the claimed invention except the slanted portion of the tab, which facilitates forcible clamping the panel and the tab by further rotation. Finkelstein reference as other references cited in US PTO 892 Form discloses the latch having a cam portion facilitating the forcible clamping, therefore it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to use the

Application/Control Number: 10/066,048

Art Unit: 2835

slanted portion as disclosed by Finkelstein in the structure disclosed by Harshberger. Harshberger discloses the claimed invention except for materials such as metal or plastic. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416. Harshberger discloses the claimed invention except specifying the device being an optical transceiver and optical fiber connectors. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the arrangement for an optical transceiver since it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

The method steps of claims 11-18 are necessitated by the device structure as disclosed by Harshberger et al. in view of Bolton et al. and further in view of Finkelstein et al.

It also must be noted that the claimed structure having rotational locking device engaged with a recess in an adjacent panel is widely known and used in many domestic applications. Details drawn to a notch in the housing to slidably engage an edge of a board are well known in the art as shown in the prior art cited in PTO 892 Form is not used at this time and these details not considered to be demonstrated as critical therefore obvious.

Application/Control Number: 10/066,048

Art Unit: 2835

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Boris L. Chervinsky whose telephone number is 703-308-5429. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren E. Schuberg can be reached on 703-308-4815. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-5115.

April 22, 2003